NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Environmental Quality Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection Air Quality Division

Under the authority of the Environmental Quality Act, R.S. 30:2001 et seq., and in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, R.S. 49:950 et seq., the secretary gives notice that rulemaking procedures have been initiated to amend the Air Quality Division regulations, LAC 33:III.603 (Log #AQ176).

This revision allows emissions banking for all parishes in the state. The change in the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) makes predicting which parishes may participate in the current rule a difficult task. While the requirements are mandatory for ozone nonattainment areas, the proposed revision lifts the restriction so that other parishes may participate in a voluntary manner. The basis and rationale for this rule are to promote emissions banking in all parts of the state.

This proposed rule meets the exceptions listed in R.S. 30:2019 (D) (3) and R.S.49:953 (G) (3); therefore, no report regarding environmental/health benefits and social/economic costs is required.

A public hearing will be held on September 24, 1998, at 1:30 p.m. in the Maynard Ketcham Building, Room 326, 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA 70810. Interested persons are invited to attend and submit oral comments on the proposed amendments. Should individuals with a disability need an accommodation in order to participate, contact Patsy Deaville at the address given below or at (504) 765-0399.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulations. Commentors should reference this proposed regulation by AQ176. Such comments must be received no later than October 1, 1998, at 4:30 p.m., and should be sent to Patsy Deaville, Investigations and Regulation Development Division, Box 82282, Baton Rouge, LA 70884 or to FAX (504) 765-0486. Copies of this proposed regulation can be purchased at the above referenced address. Contact the Investigations and Regulation Development Division at (504) 765-0399 for pricing information. Check or money order is required in advance for each copy of AQ176.

This proposed regulation is available for inspection at the following DEQ office locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.: 7290 Bluebonnet Boulevard, Fourth Floor, Baton Rouge, LA 70810; 804 Thirty-first Street, Monroe, LA 71203; State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, LA 71101; 3519 Patrick Street, Lake Charles, LA 70605; 3501 Chateau Boulevard, West Wing, Kenner, LA 70065; 100 Asma Boulevard, Suite 151, Lafayette, LA 70508; or on the Internet at http://www.deq.state.la.us/olae/irdd/olaeregs.htm.

Gus Von Bodungen, PE Assistant Secretary

Title 33 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Part III. Air

Chapter 6. Regulations on Control of Emissions Through the Use of Emission Reduction Credits Banking

§603. Applicability

The only geographical areas in which eligible sources may participate in the emissions banking program are the federally designated ozone nonattainment areas. The following sources are eligible to participate in the emissions banking program for a designated ozone nonattainment area: any stationary point source, any area source, and any mobile source registered in the designated ozone nonattainment area. The rule shall apply to the following pollutants: NO_x and VOC. Other sources in areas being redesignated ozone attainment may participate in the emissions banking program on a voluntary basis.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 30:2054. HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Air Quality and Radiation Protection, Air Quality Division, LR 20:874 (August 1994), amended LR 24

| | FISCAL AND ECC FOR ADMI | NOMIC IMPAC NISTRATIVE | | LOG #: A0176 |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Person Preparing | TOR ADAIL | | Rolls | 100 π ' <u>1101 / 0</u> |
| | Annette H. Sharp | Dept.: | <u>Environmental Qu</u> | ality |
| Phone: Prot. | (504) 765-0914 | Office: | Air Quality ar | nd Radiation |
| Return Address: | P. O. Box 82135 | Rule Title: | Revision to Emis | sions Banking |
| | Baton Rouge, LA | | Rule (LAC 33:III | .603) |
| | 70884-2135 | Date Rule Effective | : Upon Promulgatio | <u>n</u> |
| | | SUMMARY uplete sente | | |
| Statutes, on the ru STATEMENTS | lance with Section 953 there is hereby submittale proposed for adoption Summarize ATTACHED NAME THE LOUISIANA REGIST | ed a fiscal on, repeal WORKSHEETS, | l and economic imp or amendment. : I THROUGH IV | act statement THE FOLLOWING AND <u>WILL BE</u> |
| | MATED IMPLEMENTATION ENMENTAL UNITS (Summary) | COSTS (SA | VINGS) TO STATI | E OR LOCAI |
| | e are no estimated imp ernmental units, as this | | _ | |
| | IATED EFFECT ON REVENUE (S (Summary) | COLLECTIONS | OF STATE OR LOCAL | GOVERNMENTAL |
| There government | e is no estimated effect al units. | on revenue | e collections of s | tate or local |
| | MATED COSTS AND/OR ECONO ONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (S | | IS TO DIRECTLY AFF | ECTED PERSONS |
| emissions asset. It | omic benefits may be d may be used for offse may also be a cost to eate banked emissions to | etting purp permitted : | poses as well as facilities should | a marketable the LDEQ have |
| IV. ESTIM | NATED EFFECT ON COMPETITE | ION AND EMP | LOYMENT (Summary) | |
| There | e is no estimated effect | on competi | tion and employmen | t. |

H. Gordon Monk

| Signature of Agency Head or Designee DESIGNEE | e LEGISLATIVE | FISCAL | OFFICER | OR |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------|----|
| Gus Von Bodungen, Asst. Secretary Typed Name and Title of Agency Head | or Designee | | | |
| 7/15/98 Date of Signature | | 5/98 ature | | _ |

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

The following information is requested in order to assist the Legislative Fiscal Office in its review of the fiscal and economic impact statement and to assist the appropriate legislative oversight subcommittee in its deliberations on the proposed rule.

A. Provide a brief summary of the content of the rule (if proposed for adoption or repeal) or a brief summary of the change in the rule (if proposed for amendment). Attach a copy of the notice of intent and a copy of the rule proposed for initial adoption or repeal (or, in the case of a rule change, copies of both the current and proposed rules with amended portions indicated).

The proposed rule allows emissions banking for all parishes in the state.

B. Summarize the circumstances which require this action. If the action is required by federal regulations, attach a copy of the applicable regulation.

There is no mandatory requirement for this rule revision. However, the change in the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) makes predicting which parishes may participate in the current rule a difficult task. While the requirements are mandatory for ozone nonattainment areas, the proposed revision lifts the restriction so that other parishes may participate in a voluntary manner.

- C. Compliance with Act II of the 1986 First Extraordinary Session
 - (1) Will the proposed rule change result in any increase in the expenditure of funds? If so, specify amount and source of funding.

The proposed rule will not result in any increase in the expenditure of funds.

| (2) | If | the | answer | to | (1) | abo | ve | is | yes, | has | tl | ne | Legislature |
|-----|-----|--------|-----------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| | spe | cific | ally app | ropr | iated | the | fui | nds | necess | ary | for | the | associated |
| | exp | enditu | are incre | ease | ? | | | | | | | | |

| a |) | Yes. | Ιf | ves, | attach | documentation |
|---|---|------|----|------|--------|---------------|
| | | | | | | |

(b) _____ No. If no, provide justification as to why this rule change should be published at this time.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

I. A. <u>COSTS OR SAVINGS TO STATE AGENCIES RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.</u>

1. What is the anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement the proposed action?

There is no anticipated increase (decrease) in costs to implement this proposal.

| COSTS | FY 98-99 | FY 99-00 | FY 00-01 |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| PERSONAL SERVICES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| OTHER CHARGES | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| EQUIPMENT | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| TOTAL | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| MAJOR REPAIR & CONSTR. | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| POSITIONS (#) | -0- | -0- | -0- |

2. Provide a narrative explanation of the costs or savings shown in "A.1.", including the increase or reduction in workload or additional paperwork (number of new forms, additional documentation, etc.) anticipated as a result of the implementation of the proposed action. Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these costs.

There are no costs or savings with this rule revision.

3. Sources of funding for implementing the proposed rule or rule change.

No additional funding is required to implement this rule change.

| SOURCE | FY 98-99 | FY 99-00 | FY 00-01 |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| STATE GENERAL FUND | | | |
| AGENCY SELF-GENERATED | | | |
| DEDICATED | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |

4. Does your agency currently have sufficient funds to implement the proposed action? If not, how and when do you anticipate obtaining such funds?

The LDEQ has sufficient funds to implement the proposed action.

B. <u>COST OR SAVINGS TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS RESULTING FROM THE ACTION PROPOSED.</u>

1. Provide an estimate of the anticipated impact of the proposed action on local governmental units, including adjustments in workload and paperwork requirements. Describe all data, assumptions and methods used in calculating this impact.

There is no cost or savings to local governmental units resulting from the action proposed due to the nature of the regulation. The applicability of this rule is for permitted facilities.

2. Indicate the sources of funding of the local governmental unit which will be affected by these costs or savings.

There are no sources of funding of the local governmental unit which will be affected.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT WORKSHEET

II. EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS.

A. What increase (decrease) in revenues can be anticipated from the proposed action?

No increase (decrease) in revenues is anticipated from this proposal.

| REVENUE INCREASE/DECREASE | FY 98-99 | FY 99-00 | FY 00-01 |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| STATE GENERAL FUND | | | |
| AGENCY SELF-GENERATED | | | |
| RESTRICTED FUNDS* | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | |
| LOCAL FUNDS | | | |
| TOTAL | -0- | -0- | -0- |
| | | | |

^{*} Specify the particular fund being impacted.

B. Provide a narrative explanation of each increase or decrease in revenues shown in "A". Describe all data, assumptions, and methods used in calculating these increases or decreases.

This is not applicable.

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

WORKSHEET

III. COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS

A. What persons or nongovernmental groups would be directly affected by the proposed action? For each, provide an estimate and a narrative description of any effect on costs, including workload adjustments and additional paperwork (number new forms, additional documentation, etc.), they may have to incur as a result of the proposed action.

The proposed rule is to promote emissions banking for all applicable stationary point sources, area sources and mobile sources. Participation is mandatory for sources in ozone nonattainment areas. The benefits are the longevity of banked emissions that can be used for offset requirements. The risk is primarily the LDEQ confiscating banked credits to meet additional emission reductions for a nonattainment area.

B. Also provide an estimate and a narrative description of any impact on receipts and/or income resulting from this rule change to these groups.

Unless emission credits are sold, the benefit derived is the offsetting of future emission growths or facility expansion.

IV. EFFECTS ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT

Identify and provide estimates of the impact of the proposed action on competition and employment in the public and private sectors. Include a summary of any data, assumptions and methods used in making these estimates.

There is no impact of the proposed rule on competition and employment in the public and private sector.